

Request Information

Permalink

Gene expression risk score and algorithm for clinically aggressive meningioma identification and therapy guidance

Tech ID: 31917 / UC Case 2020-146-0

TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTION

Meningioma is the most common primary intracranial neoplasm, accounting for approximately 40% of newly diagnosed primary brain tumors and with approximately 42,000 new cases annually in the United States¹. The estimated prevalence of persons living with meningioma in the United States is between 170,000 and 210,000, although this number may be much higher, up to 400,000 or more, based upon incidence and survival rates². The World Health Organization (WHO) has historically graded meningiomas according to histological features such as mitotic count³. Although most WHO grade 1 meningiomas can be controlled with surgery or radiotherapy, many WHO grade 2 or grade 3 meningiomas recur and cause significant neurological morbidity and mortality⁴. Moreover, some WHO grade 1 meningiomas develop recurrences that cannot be predicted from histological features, and some WHO grade 2 or grade 3 meningiomas are unexpectedly well controlled with surgery and radiotherapy. The 10-year rate of local recurrence after meningioma resection is 20-30% for WHO grade 1 tumors^{5–7}, 40-50% for WHO grade 2 tumors^{8–13}, and in excess of 80% for WHO grade 3 tumors^{14,15}. Meningioma recurrences and salvage surgery or repeat ionizing radiation are significant causes of neurologic morbidity and mortality^{6,16,17}. Although adjuvant radiotherapy improves local control of meningioma¹⁸, there is no consensus on indications for meningioma radiation, and the benefit of radiation must be weighed against long-term toxicities, which can include neurocognitive deficits^{19,20}, necrosis^{8,21}, and secondary cancers^{19,22}. To date, no clinically tractable biomarkers are available to guide patient selection for adjuvant radiation therapy, representing an unmet need.

Inspired by the success of targeted gene expression biomarkers in other solid tumor contexts such as breast and prostate cancers, we have developed a targeted gene expression biomarker which improves upon all contemporary classification systems and identifies meningioma patients benefiting from postoperative radiotherapy. As there are currently no other commercial technologies available to supplement pathologic review of meningioma samples, this invention will help address the need for more informed therapy decisions through improved risk stratification and recommendations for adjuvant radiotherapy to patients with

CONTACT

Hailey Zhang
hailey.zhang@ucsf.edu
tel: .



OTHER INFORMATION

KEYWORDS

diagnostic, oncology,
meningioma, precision
medicine, prognostic

CATEGORIZED AS

- ▶ **Biotechnology**
- ▶ **Health**
- ▶ **Medical**
- ▶ **Diagnostics**

RELATED CASES

2020-146-0

meningioma. we developed this biomarker using a discovery cohort of 173 meningiomas, and analytical and clinical performance in a cohort of 969 meningiomas, including investigator-blinded independent validation in 103 FFPE samples from a prospective clinical trial, RTOG 0539 (Figure 1). This work has recently been published in Nature Medicine (Chen et al, Nat Med, Online ahead of Print, 2023 Nov 9: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37944590/>), and will be presented in a plenary session at the 2023 Society for Neuro-Oncology conference in Vancouver, BC.

Clinical / Performance Summary

- The biomarker improved risk stratification compared to all other systems tested (N=9) in the clinical validation cohort for local recurrence (5-year area under the curve [AUC] 0.81) and overall survival (5-year AUC 0.80). The increase in AUC compared to the standard of care, World Health Organization 2021 grade, was 0.11 for local recurrence (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.07-0.17, P<0.001). Finally, the biomarker appeared to identify meningiomas benefiting from postoperative radiotherapy (hazard ratio 0.54, 95% CI 0.37-0.78, P=0.001) and our results suggested postoperative management could be refined for 29.8% of patients (**Figure 2**). Our rationally designed and inexpensive biomarker follows in the footsteps of similar biomarkers already in routine clinical use for prostate and breast cancer²³. No similar biomarker currently exists for meningioma. Thus, our targeted gene expression assay leverages a well-established biomarker technology and has the potential to impact thousands of meningioma patients each year.
- pasting
- The biomarker improved risk stratification compared to all other systems tested (N=9) in the clinical validation cohort for local recurrence (5-year area under the curve [AUC] 0.81) and overall survival (5-year AUC 0.80). The increase in AUC compared to the standard of care, World Health Organization 2021 grade, was 0.11 for local recurrence (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.07-0.17, P<0.001). Finally, the biomarker appeared to identify meningiomas benefiting from postoperative radiotherapy (hazard ratio 0.54, 95% CI 0.37-0.78, P=0.001) and our results suggested postoperative management could be refined for 29.8% of patients (**Figure 2**). Our rationally designed and inexpensive biomarker follows in the footsteps of similar biomarkers already in routine clinical use for prostate and breast cancer²³. No similar biomarker currently exists for meningioma. Thus, our targeted gene expression assay leverages a well-established biomarker technology and has the potential to impact thousands of meningioma patients each year.

SUGGESTED USES

Clinical utility and/or intended use

- Biomarker is to be performed on surgical specimens, using clinical FFPE samples. Surgery is the mainstay of treatment of meningioma, and the vast majority of patients undergo surgical resection. Tissue availability will not be a concern. Turnaround is rapid, as fast as standard RNA extraction followed by gene expression quantification is feasible. Computational burden is minimal.
- The resulting risk score and predicted recurrence risk group can be used to provide prognostic information for patients, as well as to predict patients likely to benefit from adjuvant radiation therapy

STAGE OF DEVELOPMENT

This biomarker has been externally validated in 866 meningiomas from 6 international centers, as well as in 103 meningiomas from a prospective clinical trial in an investigator-blinded fashion. The technology is ready for operationalization from a clinical and analytical standpoint. Further prospective validation is planned via an ongoing prospective registry, and utilizing samples from ongoing Phase 3 randomized trials expected to complete accrual in 2024: BN003 and ROAM-EORTC 1308.

Analytical validity, including reproducibility over time and across laboratories, paired frozen and FFPE meningioma samples, and different approaches for gene expression quantification was established using the multicenter analytical validation cohort (N=1219 meningiomas, 8 institutions). Test-retest conditions, different centers, and paired frozen/FFPE meningiomas generated concordant gene expression risk scores that were tractable and discriminatory for meningioma outcomes. The assay was reproducible when RNA sequencing or microarray approaches were used to assess the 34-gene signature indicating the underlying technology

could be implemented using a variety of gene expression quantification methods.

ADVANTAGES

- No other commercially available biomarker exists and outperforms current gold standard (pathology-based WHO grading system) across multiple measures
- Outperforms all contemporary molecular classification systems
- Cost and complexity are low (on the order of \$40 per sample during development and validation phases, and turnaround time is rapid, as low as 2-3 days) compared to genome/exome and epigenetic profiling, and are anticipated to be lower than that of gene mutation panels used in routine clinical practice or DNA methylation profiling.
- Underlying technology can be repurposed to operate using different methods of gene expression quantification
- Reclassifies up to half of meningiomas, and refines post-operative management in ~30% of cases, potentially avoiding unnecessary radiation treatment and associated costs in one third of patient

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data available under CDA.

RELATED MATERIALS

- ▶ [Can Gene Expression Predict if a Brain Tumor Is Likely to Grow Back?](#) - 11/15/2023
- ▶ [Targeted gene expression profiling predicts meningioma outcomes and radiotherapy responses](#) - 11/09/2023

LOOKING FOR PARTNERS

To commercialize the technology for public benefit

PATENT STATUS

Patent Pending

OTHER INFORMATION

Link to Patent Application: [WO2021189082A1](#)

References:

1. Ostrom QT, Price M, Neff C, et al. CBTRUS Statistical Report: Primary Brain and Other Central Nervous System Tumors Diagnosed in the United States in 2015-2019. Neuro Oncol. 2022;24(5):v1-v95.
doi:10.1093/neuonc/noac202

2. Wiemels J, Wrensch M, Claus EB. Epidemiology and etiology of meningioma. J Neurooncol. 2010;99(3).
doi:10.1007/s11060-010-0386-3

3. Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, et al. The 2016 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of

- the Central Nervous System: a summary. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2016;131(6):803-820. doi:10.1007/s00401-016-1545-1
4. Brastianos PK, Galanis E, Butowski N, et al. Advances in multidisciplinary therapy for meningiomas. *Neuro Oncol.* 2019;21:118-131. doi:10.1093/neuonc/noy136
5. Van Alkemade H, De Leau M, Dieleman EMT, et al. Impaired survival and long-term neurological problems in benign meningioma. *Neuro Oncol.* Published online 2012. doi:10.1093/neuonc/nos013
6. Kotecha RS, Jacoby P, Cole CH, Gottardo NG. Morbidity in survivors of child and adolescent meningioma. *Cancer.* Published online 2013. doi:10.1002/cnrc.28366
7. Kotecha RS, Pascoe EM, Rushing EJ, et al. Meningiomas in children and adolescents: A meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet Oncol.* Published online 2011. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(11)70275-3
8. Chen WC, Magill ST, Wu A, et al. Histopathological features predictive of local control of atypical meningioma after surgery and adjuvant radiotherapy. *J Neurosurg.* Published online 2019. doi:10.3171/2017.9.JNS171609
9. Aizer AA, Arvold ND, Catalano P, et al. Adjuvant radiation therapy, local recurrence, and the need for salvage therapy in atypical meningioma. *Neuro Oncol.* 2014;16(11):1547-1553. doi:10.1093/neuonc/nou098
10. Adeberg S, Hartmann C, Welzel T, et al. Long-Term Outcome After Radiotherapy in Patients With Atypical and Malignant Meningiomas—Clinical Results in 85 Patients Treated in a Single Institution Leading to Optimized Guidelines for Early Radiation Therapy. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology*Biophysics*Physics.* 2012;83(3):859-864. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.08.010
11. Bagshaw HP, Burt LM, Jensen RL, et al. Adjuvant radiotherapy for atypical meningiomas. *J Neurosurg.* Published online 2016:1-7. doi:10.3171/2016.5.JNS152809
12. Hammouche S, Clark S, Wong AHL, Eldridge P, Farah JO. Long-term survival analysis of atypical meningiomas: Survival rates, prognostic factors, operative and radiotherapy treatment. *Acta Neurochir (Wien).* 2014;156(8):1475-1481. doi:10.1007/s00701-014-2156-z
13. Komotar RJ, Iorgulescu JB, Raper DMS, et al. The role of radiotherapy following gross-total resection of atypical meningiomas. *J Neurosurg.* 2012;117(4):679-686. doi:10.3171/2012.7.JNS112113
14. Wang YC, Chuang CC, Wei KC, et al. Long Term Surgical Outcome and Prognostic Factors of Atypical and Malignant Meningiomas. *Sci Rep.* Published online 2016. doi:10.1038/srep35743
15. Palma L, Celli P, Franco C, Cervoni L, Cantore G. Long-term prognosis for atypical and malignant meningiomas: A study of 71 surgical cases. *J Neurosurg.* Published online 1997. doi:10.3171/jns.1997.86.5.0793
16. Chen WC, Hara J, Magill ST, et al. Salvage therapy outcomes for atypical meningioma. *J Neurooncol.* Published online 2018. doi:10.1007/s11060-018-2813-9
17. Magill ST, Lee DS, Yen AJ, et al. Surgical outcomes after reoperation for recurrent skull base meningiomas. *J Neurosurg.* Published online 2019. doi:10.3171/2017.11.JNS172278
18. Rogers L, Barani I, Chamberlain M, et al. Meningiomas: Knowledge base, treatment outcomes, and

uncertainties. A RANO review. J Neurosurg. Published online 2015. doi:10.3171/2014.7.JNS131644

19. Kaur G, Sayegh ET, Larson A, et al. Adjuvant radiotherapy for atypical and malignant meningiomas: A systematic review. Neuro Oncol. 2014;16(5):628-636. doi:10.1093/neuonc/nou025

20. Najafabadi A, Van Der Meer P, Boele F, et al. The long-term disease burden of meningioma patients: results on health-related quality of life, cognitive function, anxiety and depression. Neuro Oncol. 2018;20(suppl_6):vi154–vi155. doi:https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noy148.643

21. Patil CG, Hoang S, Borchers DJ, et al. Predictors of peritumoral edema after stereotactic radiosurgery of supratentorial meningiomas. Neurosurgery. Published online 2008. doi:10.1227/01.NEU.0000325257.58684.92

22. Pollock BE, Link MJ, Stafford SL, Parney IF, Garces YI, Foote RL. The Risk of Radiation-Induced Tumors or Malignant Transformation After Single-Fraction Intracranial Radiosurgery: Results Based on a 25-Year Experience. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. Published online 2017. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2017.01.004

23. Sparano JA, Gray RJ, Makower DF, et al. Adjuvant Chemotherapy Guided by a 21-Gene Expression Assay in Breast Cancer. New England Journal of Medicine. 2018;379(2):111-121. doi:10.1056/nejmoa1804710

ADDRESS

UCSF
Innovation Ventures

600 16th St, Genentech Hall, S-272,
San Francisco,CA 94158

CONTACT

Tel:
innovation@ucsf.edu
https://innovation.ucsf.edu
Fax:

CONNECT

 Follow  Connect

© 2020 - 2023, The Regents of the University
of California
[Terms of use](#) [Privacy Notice](#)